

THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

MINISTRY OF WORKS, TRANSPORT AND COMMUNICATION



Good roads for national development

MULTINATIONAL: TANZANIA/BURUNDI: RUMONGE – GITAZA (45 KM) AND KABINGO – KASULU - MANYOVU (260.6 KM) ROAD UPGRADING PROJECT

UPGRADING OF KABINGO – KASULU - MANYOVU (260.6 KM) ROAD TO BITUMEN STANDARD, LOT 1: KASULU JUNCTION – MANYOVU INCLUDING KASULU TOWN LINKS (68.25 KM)

TENDER NO. AE/001/2018-19/HQ/W/102

Clarification No. 1 to the Bidding Documents

Date: 4th June 2019

Clarification No. 1 to the Bidding Documents is issued in accordance with Sub-Clause 7.1 of the Instructions to Bidders (ITB)

QUESTION NO. 1:

(Previous Question No. 13 – refer Minutes of Pre-bid Meeting, APPENDIX II)

Who is responsible for acquisition of borrow pits?

Response to Question No. 1

Response to Question No. 13 of Pre-bid Meeting is hereby withdrawn and replaced with the following:

(a) Locations of borrow pits and quarries are shown in the Materials Report for Information Only. It is, however, the responsibility of the successful Bidder to identify sources of suitable construction materials. Payment for land acquisition for borrow pits and quarries will be refunded through BOQ Item 12.01. Bidders' attention is also drawn towards Sub-Clause 1215 of the Standard Specifications.

QUESTION NO. 2:

Bidding Document, Volume 1A:

Please confirm the source of exchange rate to be used to arrive at the USD equivalent of Financial data/Construction turnover/Value of Single contract.

Response to Question No. 2

Financial/Construction turnover data for any particular year can be converted to United States Dollars at the rate of exchange at the end of the year reported. Bidders can use any Authoritative sources for the exchange rate.

Value of a single Contract must be based on the currencies of the Contract, converted to United States dollars at the rate of exchange at the date of Substantial Completion or date of award for current Contract. Bidders can use any Authoritative sources for the exchange rate.

QUESTION NO. 3:

Reference to Part 1 - Building Procedures/Section III Evaluation and Qualification Criteria 2.4 Experience 2.4.2 - Specific Construction Experience: It is stated that two (2) similar contracts within the last ten (10) years, each with a value of at least USD Fifty (50) million, that have been successfully and substantially completed and that are similar to the proposed Works are required for 1 Lot. Furthermore, three (3) similar contracts within the last five (5) years, each with a value of at least USD One Hundred (100) million for 2 Lot Option; Three(3) similar contracts within the last five (5) years, each with a value of at least USD Two hundred (200) million for 3 Lot option; and four (4) similar contracts within the last three (3) years, each with value of at least USD Two Hundred (200) million for 4 Lot option. These statements shows that multiple lot combinations are not prorated with one lot option which has not been experienced by the tenderer so far. Therefore please confirm the correctness of the above statements.

Response to Question No. 3

We confirm that the above statements are correct.

QUESTION NO. 4:

In Part 1 - Bidding Procedures/Section III Evaluation and Qualification Criteria/2.4. Experience /2.4.1 & 2.4.2: Work experience periods are indicated for each qualification criteria, i.e. within the last ten (10) years. Please clarify which specific period shall be considered by the tenderer, 2008-2018, or 2010-2019 for last ten years.

Response to Question No. 4

The work experience periods to be considered for qualification in 1 or more lots (contracts) is as follows:

<i>Lots (Contracts)</i>	<i>Period of Experience</i>
1	Last 10 years (2009 – 2018)
2	Last 5 years (2014 – 2018)
3	Last 5 years (2014 – 2018)
4	Last 3 years (2016 – 2018)

QUESTION NO. 5:

Reference to Part 1 - Bidding Procedures/Section III Evaluation and Qualification Criteria/2.3. Financial situation /2.3.1 - Historical Financial Performance: It is understood that, financial situation of years 2013-2017 shall be demonstrated by the tenderer. Please confirm.

Response to Question No. 5

It is confirmed that the assessment of Financial situation for the last five years will cover the Years 2013 to 2017.

QUESTION NO. 6:

Reference to Part 1 - Bidding Procedures/Section III Evaluation and Qualification Criteria/2.4.2-specific Construction Experience 2.4.2 b: It is understood that experience in key activities is required for any one year within the period mentioned in 2.4.2.a. Please confirm.

Response to Question No. 6

Experience in key activities for 1 or more lots (contracts) is required for any one year within the periods mentioned in the response to Question No. 4 above.

QUESTION NO. 7:

Reference to Part 1 - Bidding Procedures/Section III Evaluation and Qualification Criteria/2.4 Experience/2.4.2 - Specific Construction Experience 2.4.2. b: Some key activity are requested for to be demonstrated by the Tenderer. Among these key activities are "improved subgrade" and "common fill". The Tenderer understands for those are in the same order, selected fill material and unselected fill material. Please confirm understanding.

Response to Question No. 7

There is no unselected fill material in the requirement. Improved subgrade means cut to spoil of unsuitable soil and fill with minimum G3 materials.

QUESTION NO. 8:

We kindly request for clarification to the "Evaluation and Qualification Criteria (Section III) 2.4 Experience", the tenderer is requested to provide specific construction experience in two or four Projects according to the number of lots participated. The requested amount of similar experience varies from 2 x \$50 M to 4 x \$200M. Could you please clarify if we meet the criteria in case of a single contract provided amounting over \$800 M (4 x \$200M).

Response to Question No. 8

No. For a Bidder to qualify for 1 lot or 2 lots or 3 lots or 4 lots, he must demonstrate, during the stipulated period of experience, the minimum number of projects and each of at least the value as stipulated in Section III, Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, 2.4 Experience.

QUESTION NO. 9:

(i) Please clarify whether a Bid Security issued by a reputable Bank outside Tanzania must have a corresponding Bank in Tanzania.

(ii) Section III Evaluation and Qualification Criteria/2.4 Experience/2.4.2 - Specific Construction Experience 2.4.2. b: Please clarify the requirement of key activities "per year for any of the Contracts". Does this mean the accumulative experience of the projects or the sole experience of a single project implemented within the stipulated period in 2.4.2 (a-1)?.

Response to Question No. 9

(i) A Bank outside Tanzania issuing a Bid Security (Bank Guarantee) does not need to have a corresponding Bank in Tanzania.

(ii) It is the experience in 1 or more contracts combined. Bidders are advised to read the footnotes nos. 16 to 19 on pages III-10 to III-13 of Section III, Evaluation and Qualification Criteria.

QUESTION NO. 10:

Is it acceptable for the Bid Security be issued directly to the Employer by a reputable Bank located in China?

Response to Question No. 10

A Bid Security from a reputable Bank in China is acceptable. The Bid Security must be submitted along with the Bid.

QUESTION NO. 11:

Is the Bidder required to attach evidence of his ownership to the equipment such as purchasing invoice to form EQU?

Response to Question No. 11

No.

QUESTION NO. 12:

Is the Bidder required to notarize 1. The relevant diploma certificates of the proposed personal 2. Certificates of completed Works 3. The Bidder's historical financial statements?

Response to Question No. 12

No. The only documents which are required to be notarized are those referred in ITB 20.2 of Section II, Bid Data Sheet.

QUESTION NO. 13:

(i) What exchange rate should be used to convert the Bidder's historical financial data to the required currency? Is it the exchange rate of the last day of each year?

(ii) And what exchange rate should be used to calculate figure of the current commitment?

Response to Question No. 13

Refer response to Question No. 2.

QUESTION NO. 14:

(a) It seems that there are some ambiguities with respect to tax issue in the Bidding Document [(ITB14.8 / BDS14.8; GC14.1.1 (e) / PC (Part B) 14.1.1 (e)].

(b) Besides, Clause 14.6.1.1 of the PC Part A; Contract Data, specifies that, prior to certification by the Engineer of any Interim Payment Certificate, the Contractor must submit supporting documents showing that withholding tax of previous certified payment has been paid to Tanzania Revenue Authority (TRA). Please clarify on the following:

(i) Is the project exempted from withholding tax? If not, what is the rate of withholding tax?

(ii) The GC14.1.1 (e) stipulates that 'Notwithstanding the provisions of GC Clause 14.1.1(b), Contractor's Equipment including essential spare parts thereof, imported by the Contractor for the sole purpose of executing the contract shall be exempt from the payment of import duties and taxes upon importation'. What will be required to be paid for imported items after completion of the project?

Response to Question No. 14

(a) BDS Clause 14.8 and PC (Part B) 14.1.1 (e) have been amended for clarity - refer Item No. 1 and Item No. 2 of Addendum No. 1.

(b) (i) The project is not exempted from withholding tax. The rate of withholding tax is about 3.2%. However, Bidders are advised to contact the Tanzania Revenue Authority (TRA) to confirm the correct rate. The address of Tanzania Revenue Authority (TRA) is provided in Section II: Bid Data Sheet, Clause ITB 14.8.

(ii) The GC14.1.1 (e) has been amended – refer **Item No. 2 of Addendum No. 1**.

QUESTION NO. 15:

We have found some discrepancies in quantities indicated the BOQ hard copy and soft version (excel) of following item.

Item 14.01 (b) Provide Type II house fully furnished and equipped for the Engineer (5no.):

Quantity in hardcopy: **5 no.** Quantity in excel: **4 no.**

Please clarify.

Response to Question No. 15

The quantity in the hardcopy (5 No.) should prevail.

QUESTION NO. 16:

Please clarify the exact type of bitumen to be used for asphalt concrete works.

Response to Question No. 16

Bitumen for asphalt concrete surfacing is PG 70 -10 performance grade bitumen. Refer to BOQ, SECTION 4200: BITUMINOUS BASE COURSE AND ASPHALT CONCRETE SURFACING.

QUESTION NO. 17:

Will the land for the Contractor's project camp be offered free or not?

Response to Question No. 17

Land for the Contractor's project camp is the responsibility of the Contractor as per Clause 1303 of the Standard Specifications.

QUESTION NO. 18:

Section III Evaluation and Qualification Criteria 2. Qualification Tables (Without Prequalification), 2.4 Experience 2.4.2 Specific Construction Experience (a-1) to (a-4): There is the requirement:

"Participation as contractor, management contractor or subcontractor, in at least similar contracts within the last years, each with a value of at least USD, that have been successfully and substantially completed and that are similar to the proposed works. The similarity shall be based on physical size, complexity, methods/technology or other characteristics as described in Section VI, Scope of Works."

Kindly specify the phrase 'substantially completed' as a percentage value.

Response to Question No. 18

"Substantially completed" refer to a contract which has been taken over in line with the requirements of General Conditions, GC 10. There is no percentage value assigned.

QUESTION NO. 19:

Bidding Document, Volume 1A, Section I: Instructions to Bidders, ITB 19.3,

.....If the unconditional guarantee is issued by an insurance company or a bonding company located outside the Employer's Country, the issuer shall have a correspondent financial institution located in the Employer's Country to make it enforceable.

Since this is an International Competitive Bid, we suggest you to not insist for a counter BG from local bank.

Response to Question No. 19

Bid Security (Bid Bond) is not applicable. In the case of Bid Security (Bank Guarantee) refer response to Question No. 9 (i).

QUESTION NO. 20:

Bidding Document, Volume 1A,

Schedule of Adjustment Data, Table A Local Currency (LC)

As this is for local currency, Please inform the local Index / base details available in Tanzania which will be acceptable to Employer.

Response to Question No. 20

Local Index / base details can be obtained for *National Construction Council of Tanzania*, whose address is shown below:

National Construction Council - Samora Tower, 9th Floor - Mansfield Street

P. O. Box 70039 Dar es Salaam

Tel: +255 22 2131321-2135553

Fax: +255 22 2118887

Email: info@ncc.go.tz

Website: <http://www.ncc.go.tz>

QUESTION NO. 21:

Bidding Document, Volume 1:

BOQ, Item No. 36.02

Embankment Fill,

a) We understand that all borrow areas, which have been tested by Employer (as in Material report) will be made available to Contractor free of cost for extracting the fill materials. Please Confirm.

b) Also please inform if any royalty or charges are to be paid separately to the Government / any Local Authority for same.

Response to Question No. 21

(a) Locations of borrow pits and quarries are shown in the Materials Report for Information Only. It is, however, the responsibility of the successful Bidder to identify sources of suitable construction materials. Payment for land acquisition for borrow pits and quarries will be refunded through BOQ Item 12.01. Bidders' attention is also drawn towards Sub-Clause 1215 of the Standard Specifications

b) Taxes for royalties and service levies is payable by the Contractor to respective authorities according to the Laws of Tanzania.

QUESTION NO. 22:

Bidding Document, Volume 1A, BOQ SECTION 1500 - ACCOMMODATION OF TRAFFIC:
Please confirm that land required for traffic diversion will be made available by Employer free of cost.

Response to Question No. 22

Yes, if the land is available within the acquired construction corridor. Otherwise the Contractor has to plan his traffic management at his own cost, within other land approved by the Engineer.

QUESTION NO. 23:

Reinforcement Payment - Please confirm if Laps and Chairs will be measured and paid separately.

Response to Question No. 23.

The reinforcement quantities include lap length. The chairs are not included in Item No. 63.01B (b). The cost for chairs should be covered in the rates of the related BOQ Items.

QUESTION NO. 24

Section II – Evaluation and Qualification Criteria: 2.4.2 – Specific Construction Experience if recommended for 2 lots - item (a-2) refer “ *Participation as Contractor, management Contractor or Sub-contractor, in at least **three (3)** similar contracts within the last **five (5)** years, each with a value of at least **USD One Hundred (100) million**, that have been successfully...*”

Is this correct ? instead of “each with a value of at least **USD One Hundred (100) million**” should not be “ *for the sum of the 3 a value of at least **USD One Hundred (100) million** “ ?*
Please Clarify

Response to Question No. 24

Refer response to Question No. 3.

QUESTION NO. 25

Section II – Evaluation and Qualification Criteria: 2.4.2 – Specific Construction Experience if recommended for 3 lots - item (a-3) refer “ *Participation as Contractor, management Contractor or Sub-contractor, in at least **three (3)** similar contracts within the last **five (5)** years, each with a value of at least **USD two Hundred (200) million**, that have been successfully...*”

Is this correct ? instead of “each with a value of at least **USD two Hundred (200) million**” should not be “ *for the sum of the 3 a value of at least **USD two Hundred (200) million** “ ?*
Please clarify

Response to Question No. 25

Refer response to Question No. 3.

QUESTION NO. 26

Section II – Evaluation and Qualification Criteria: 2.4.2 – Specific Construction Experience if recommended for 4 lots - item (a-4) refer “ *Participation as Contractor, management Contractor or Sub-contractor, in at least **Four (4)** similar contracts within the last **three (3)** years, each with a value of at least **USD two Hundred (200) million**, that have been successfully...*”

Is this correct ? instead of “each with a value of at least **USD two Hundred (200) million**” should not be “ *for the sum of the 4 a value of at least **USD two Hundred (200) million** “ ?*
Please clarify

Response to Question No. 26
Refer response to **Question No. 3.**

QUESTION NO. 27

Section III – Evaluation and Qualification Criteria: The item b-1); b-2); b- 3) and b-4) refer that the values mentioned per year are for any of the Contracts.
Is this correct? Should not be for the whole of the contracts?
Please clarify.

Response to Question No. 27

*Yes. It is for 1 or more contracts combined. Refer response to **Question No. 9 (ii).***

QUESTION NO. 28

Kindly clarify if the Tender Security issued by a foreign bank is acceptable or it must be issued by a local bank.

Response to Question No. 28

Tender Security issued by a Foreign Bank or Local Bank is acceptable.

QUESTION NO. 29

Form of notarized Power of Attorney is not found in bidding document. Kindly clarify if we are allowed to apply the form offered by you in previous project or you will provide the form for this project particularly.

Response to Question No. 29

*A sample Form of Power of Attorney is attached herewith as **Appendix 1 of Clarification No. 1.** Bidders are allowed to adopt the sample form or any other suitable form that they prefer.*

QUESTION NO. 30

With relation to BOQ, Bitumen for Kasulu town links main road shall apply type 60/70, but the sidewalk is PG Bitumen. And the Drawing just shows the opposite. Please clarify.

Response to Question No. 30

In relation to the Kasulu Town Links BoQ, the bitumen for Kasulu Town links is PG Bitumen. The drawing does not contradict this.

QUESTION NO. 31

With relation to BOQ, Sidewalk for Kasulu Town Links requires SP12.5, but in the drawings it is indicated SP 0.9. Please clarify.

Response to Question No. 31

The BOQ requirement of SP12.5 for Sidewalk for Kasulu Town Links should be maintained.

Bidders should also take note of the following amendment: *Item 42.02 ii (a): "SP 9.4" is amended to read "SP 9.5" – refer **Item No. 3 of Addendum No. 1.***

QUESTION NO. 32

With relation to BOQ, 66.14B: "Provide 75x75x8mm Equal Angle edge protection complete with 12mm mild steel rod anchors @ 1000mm c/c at Expansion joints" has conflict with the Drawing "75x75x4mm Equal Angle edge protection complete with 10mm mild steel rod anchors @600mm c/c at expansion joints". Which one shall be applied? Please clarify.

Response to Question No. 32

Apply the following specifications: Provide 75x75x8mm Equal Angle edge protection complete with 10mm mild steel rod anchors @ 600mm c/c at expansion joints – refer Item No. 4 of Addendum No. 1.

QUESTION NO. 33

Kindly provide the matched Drawing of BOQ Item 23.01(a) and (b) which will indicate sizes of concrete kerbing.

Response to Question No. 33

Refer Drawing No. NKM-EKE-0000/DD-DR-A-00426-C in the hardcopy of the Book of Drawings (handwritten page no. 79). Also refer response to **Question No. 44**.

QUESTION NO. 34

Kindly clarify the type and size of the welded mesh of BOQ 23.08 (a).

Response to Question No. 34

Description of the BOQ Item 23.08 (a) has been amended – refer **Item No. 5** and **Item No. 6** of **Addendum No. 1**.

QUESTION NO. 35

Kindly clarify if the steel bars and formwork specified in BOQ 22.07(c) shall be measured in Section 6000, since they were not listed separately.

Response to Question No. 35

The rate for item 22.07 (c) should be inclusive of the steel bars and formwork.

They shall not be measured and paid for separately, the cost of which shall be deemed to be included in the bid rates for concrete.

QUESTION NO. 36

Please confirm/clarify the following:

- (i) Withholding tax rate: Is it 2% or 3.2%.
- (ii) If mineral resources tax will be exempted.
- (iii) If municipal service tax will be exempted.
- (iv) Application procedure for VAT exemption.

Response to Question No. 36

- (i) Refer response to **Question No. 14(b)(i)**.
- (ii) Mineral Service tax will not be exempted.

- (iii) Municipal Service tax will not be exempted.
- (iv) Bidders are advised to contact Tanzania Revenue Authority for VAT exemption procedures.

QUESTION NO. 37

Due to the coming holiday seasons, we request for extension of Bid Opening.

Response to Question No. 37

The Employer, has, pursuant to ITB 22.2, extended the deadline for bid submission to 3rd July 2019, at 10:00 hours, local time. In this connection, necessary amendments have been made to the Bidding Document – refer **Item No. 7 of Addendum No. 1.**

QUESTION NO. 38

Clause 8 of the Invitation for Bids of Lot 1, Lot 3 and Lot 4 states that “The Bid Security shall be clearly addressed to: The Chief Executive, TANROADS, P.O. Box **11384**, Dar es Salaam” while the other part of the tender documents (Bidding Data Sheet) indicate that the Employer’s P. O. Box is **11364**. Please confirm which P. O. Box No. should be indicated in the Bidder’s Bid Security.

Response to Question No. 38

*The correct P. O. Box No. is **11364**.*

QUESTION NO. 39

Please clarify:

- (i) If the Bid Security can be issued by a reputable bank located in China such as China Construction Bank or Industrial Bank of China, etc. in freely convertible currency.
- (ii) The date whose rates will be applied for conversion purposes.
- (iii) The exchange rate to be used: whether the buying rate; selling rate or the mean rate.

Response to Question No. 39

(i) Yes.

(ii) 28 days before the Bids submission deadline.

(iii) The selling rate.

QUESTION NO. 40

Regarding the Form of Bid Security (Bank Guarantee) in the Bidding Document, our Bank has noted that the phrase “The Employer” has been used, and thus suggested that the phrase “**hereinafter called “the Employer”**” be added after the TANROADS address.as follows:

The Chief Executive,
Tanzania National Roads Agency (TANROADS),
P. O. Box 11364,
Dar es Salaam.

Hereinafter referred to as the Employer

Response to Question No. 40

The proposal is accepted - refer Item No. 8 of Addendum No. 1.

QUESTION NO. 41

As there are several expiration scenarios in the Form of Bid Security i.e

This guarantee will expire: (a) if the Bidder is the successful Bidder, upon our receipt of copies of the contract signed by the Bidder and the performance security issued to you upon the instruction of the Bidder; and (b) if the Bidder is not the successful Bidder, upon the earlier of (i) our receipt of a copy your notification to the Bidder of the name of the successful Bidder; or (ii) twenty-eight days after the expiration of the Bidder's bid.

it is suggested to add: "upon the earliest of" so that the paragraph shall be: **This guarantee will expire upon the earliest of: (a) if the Bidder**
.....

Response to Question No. 41

There are only 2 scenarios for the Bidder, i. e. he is either successful, in which case requirement (a) will apply, or is not successful, in which case requirement (b) will apply. The proposed additional wording is therefore not acceptable.

QUESTION NO. 42

Reference is made to ITB 14.8 of the Bid Data Sheet, which indicates Goods and Services to be procured for the purpose of execution of the Project may be exempted from taxes and duties. We would like to request for a clear position whether the goods and services will be tax exempt or not.

Response to Question No. 42

Refer response to Question No. 14(a).

QUESTION NO. 43

House Type II and Type III plans are the same in the set of drawings provided in the tender drawings. Please confirm if this should be the case.

Response to Question No. 43

Both Type II and III are all two-bedroomed houses. The difference between the two types of plans is that Type II has only one bedroom ensuite (self-contained) whereas Type III has both bedrooms ensuite.

QUESTION NO. 44

Reference is made to BILL 2000, Section 2300 Item 23.01. The height of the precast concrete kerbs is indicated as 280mm while in the drawings show 380mm. A clarification on the correct dimension is required.

Response to Question No. 44

The correct dimension is 380 mm – refer Item No. 9 and Item No. 10 of Addendum No. 1.

QUESTION NO. 45

We would like to request for extension of 3 weeks to the closing date due to Public Holidays falling in the Tendering Period.

Response to Question No. 45
Refer response to Question No. 37.

QUESTION NO. 46

We also would like to clarify if it would be possible to submit tenders for two lots, however to be recommended for one lot only, if successful, meaning the same qualification information will be submitted for both lots.

Response to Question No. 46

Yes, it is possible. However, selection of the Lot to be awarded will be subject to the Lot combination which is of the least cost to the Employer.

QUESTION NO. 47

(i) According to the Bid Document Volume 1A of Lot 1, Lot 2; Lot 3 and Lot 4 under Section III Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, 2.4 Experience, 2.4.2 b1-b4 as part of key activities under items **If recommended for 1 lot (b-1), If recommended for 2 lots (b-2), If recommended for 3 lot (b-3), and If recommended for 4 lot (b-4)** are stating different volumes (production rate of key activities) in each LOT, which are contradicting, Kindly clarify.

(ii) We request for extension of the tender submission by two weeks to enable the preparation of a competitive and comprehensive Bid.

Response to Question No. 47

*(i) We acknowledge the inconsistency with regard to the Key Activity data/information in the Bidding Documents of the 4 Lots and have rectified the same - refer **Item 11 of Addendum No. 1***

*(ii) Refer response to **Question No. 37.***

QUESTION NO. 48

The project is complex and requires collection of details from different vendors and suppliers. We have also sent pre-bid queries for clarification. In view of this, we request for the deadline of submission of tenders to be revised to 4 weeks from issue of pre-bid queries.

Response to Question No. 48

*Refer response to **Question No. 37.***

QUESTION NO. 49

"Item 22.07 (a) of BILL No. 2000: DRAINAGE": the concrete class indicated for "encasing of pipes" is 15, but normally it should be 20 in construction. Therefore, please confirm the concrete class for "encasing of pipes".

Response to Question No. 49

The Class of concrete for "encasing of pipes" of 15/20 should be maintained.

QUESTION NO. 50

"Item 23.01 of BILL No. 2000: DRAINAGE": the concrete class indicated for "Concrete kerbing" is 20, but normally it should be 30 in construction. Therefore, please confirm the concrete class for "Concrete kerbing".

Response to Question No. 50

The Class of concrete for "Concrete kerbing" is changed to Class 25/20 instead of 20/20 – refer **Item No. 9 and Item No. 10 of Addendum No. 1.**

QUESTION NO. 51

"Item 23.06(a) of BILL No. 2000: DRAINAGE": the concrete class indicated for "Concrete slabs" is 20, but normally it should be 30 in construction. Therefore, please confirm the concrete class for "Concrete slabs".

Response to Question No. 51

The Class of concrete for "Concrete slabs" is changed to Class 25/20 instead of 20/20 - refer **Item No. 12 and Item No. 13 of Addendum No. 1.**

QUESTION NO. 52

We request for extension of the deadline for submission of tender to 9th June 2019, to enable adequate preparation of the tender.

Response to Question No. 52

Refer response to **Question No. 37.**

QUESTION NO. 53

Pursuant to ITB 19.3 of the Bidding Document, we plan to open the bank guarantee from Bank of China and authenticated by Standard Chartered Bank Tanzania Limited. We request to issue a Bid Security in the form that we attach, where we added the deadline of the Bid Security (Bid Closing Date plus 148 Days).

Response to Question No. 53

A Bid Security (Bank Guarantee) issued by a foreign bank does not require authentication by a local bank.

Regarding the proposal by the Bidder to insert a date in the Bid Security, refer **Item No. 8 of Addendum No. 1.**

QUESTION NO. 54

According to Drawing No. "NKM-EKE-0000/DD-DR-G-00000-D", the total road mileage of Lot 1 is 66.35582 Km, whereas the Bidding Document gives the mileage of Lot 1 road as 68.25 Km. Please clarify the difference of 1.89418 Km.

Response to Question No. 54

The actual lengths as per the drawings are as follows:

Lot 1: Kasulu roundabout - Manyovu

- Kasulu roundabout – Manyovu: 56.9 km plus extension works from Manyovu roundabout to Burundi border (1.9 km) = 58.8km
- Kasulu Town Link roads: Kasulu Heru Juu Link road – 2.4 km and Northlink to Kasulu Bypass – 7.1km = 9.5km

The difference is because of measurement to the Tanzania/Burundi border.

QUESTION NO. 55

According to the detailed drawing of the bridge, the Lot 1 standard bridge number is: C1-Br-1 Km 0+535 Kasulu – Heru Juu Town Link (consistent with the plan drawing), C1-Br-2 Km 1+267 Kasulu Roundabout – Manyovu (the exact location was not found on the plan drawing), C1-Br-3 Km 2+020 Kasulu Roundabout – Manyovu (the exact location was not found on the plan drawing). Please indicate the exact location and mileage of the two bridges Station Numbers.

Response to Question No. 55

The chainage of the bridges are as follows:

- C1-Br-1 Km 0+535
- C1-Br-2 Km 1+267
- C1-Br-3 Km 2+020

The bridge drawings indicate the location coordinates for each of the bridges, which also give the exact locations of the bridges.

QUESTION NO. 56

The Grand Summary of the Bill of Quantities are different. Please explain which one is correct.

Response to Question No. 56

The hardcopy Grand Summary of the Bill of Quantity, as amended, should prevail - refer Item No. 14 of Addendum No. 1.

QUESTION NO. 57

Refer to the Grand Summary Page of the Bill of Quantities, whereby, there is a repetition of item G and H. Is that an error or we should fill it as it is?

Response to Question No. 57

It is an error, and the same has been corrected - refer Item No. 14 of Addendum No. 1.

QUESTION NO. 58

Is this project exempted from import duty taxes?

Response to Question No. 58

Refer response to Question No. 14(a).

QUESTION NO. 59

Kindly confirm that the presentation of part of the BOQ Grand Summary is as presented below:

(A)	TOTAL OF BILLS
(B)	LESS: Specified Provisional Sums included in Total of Bills
(C)	SUB-TOTAL [(A) - (B)]
(D)	ADD: 7.5% of Sub Total (C) for Physical Contingencies
(E)	SUB-TOTAL [(C) + (D)]
(F)	ADD: 7.5% of Sub Total (E) for Variation of Prices (VoP)
(G)	SUB-TOTAL [(A) + (D) + (F)]
(H)	SUB-TOTAL [(A) + (D) + (F)]
(I)	ADD: Value Added Tax (VAT)-[18% of Sub Total (H)]
(J)	GRAND TOTAL [(H) + (I)] -CARRIED FORWARD TO LETTER OF BID

Response to Question No. 59

The BOQ Grand Summary has some error since row (G) and (H) are a repetition. The Grand Summary of the BOQ has been amended to address the error and incorporate additional information - refer response to **Question No. 57.**

Appendix 1 of Clarification No. 1

Power of Attorney

TO ALL IT MAY CONCERN

THAT BY THIS POWER OF ATTORNEY given on the [insert date, month and year], WE the undersigned [insert name of the company/donor] of [insert address of the company/donor], by virtue of authority conferred to us by the Board Resolution Noofday of[insert year], do hereby ordain nominate and appoint [insert name of donee] of [insert address of the donee] to be our true lawful Attorney and Agent, with full power and authority, for us and in our names, and for our accounts and benefits, to do any, or all of the following acts, in the execution of tender No. [insert tender number] that is to say;

To act for the company and do any other thing or things incidental for [insert tender Number] of [insert description of procurement] for the [insert name of the procuring entity];

AND provided always that this Power of Attorney shall not revoke or in any manner affect any future power of attorney given to any other person or persons for such other power or powers shall remain and be of the same force and affect as if this deed has not been executed.

AND we hereby undertake to ratify everything, which our Attorney or any substitute or substitutes or agent or agents appointed by him under this power on his behalf herein before contained shall do or purport to do in virtue of this Power of Attorney.

SEALED with the common seal of the said [[insert name of the company] and delivered in the presence of us this [insert date] day of [insert month] [insert year].

IN WITNESS whereof we have signed this deed on this [insert date] day of [insert month] [insert year] at [insert region] for and on behalf of [insert name of the company]

SEALED with the Common Seal of
[insert name of the donor/coy]
This [insert date, month and year]

}

.....
SEAL OF DONOR

Seal affixed in the presence of:

1. Name:.....
Signature.....
Designation:

2. Name:
Signature
Designation

BEFORE ME:

.....
COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS

Acknowledgement

I [insert name of donee] doth hereby acknowledge and accept to be Attorney of the said [insert name of the company/donor] under the terms and conditions contained in this POWER OF ATTORNEY and I promise to perform and discharge my duties as the lawfully appointed Attorney faithfully and honestly.

SIGNED and DELIVERED by the said
[insert name of donee] Identified to me
by [insert name]
The latter known to me personally
This [insert date, month and year],



.....

DONEE

BEFORE ME

.....
COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS